Action Taken Report on Student Feedback Analysis 2023-2024

1. Feedback on the Course(s)

- 1. Relevance of the Course to the Subject
 - Action Taken: Faculty members were encouraged to align the course content more closely with the subject's core concepts. Regular review meetings were conducted to address gaps.
- 2. Extent of Inclusion of Latest Developments in the Syllabus of the Course
 - Action Taken: Departments have started incorporating recent advancements into the syllabus. Workshops and consultations with industry experts were organized.

3. Organization of the Syllabus

- Action Taken: The structure of the syllabus was streamlined by consulting subject experts and including suggestions from stakeholders.
- 4. Balance Between Different Aspects (Theory/Practical/Computation, etc.)
 - Action Taken: Practical and computational components were increased to achieve a better balance.

5. Overall Rating of the Syllabus of the Course

• Action Taken: A curriculum development committee has been formed to periodically assess and improve the overall syllabus.

2. Feedback on the Department

1. Conduct of Student Seminars

• Action Taken: Increased the frequency of student seminars and provided mentorship to improve the quality of presentations.

2. Conduct of Guest/Invited Lectures

• Action Taken: A schedule for guest lectures was created, ensuring coverage of diverse topics relevant to the curriculum.

3. Classroom Facilities

• Action Taken: Maintenance of classrooms was improved, and upgrades in seating and ventilation were initiated.

4. Teaching Aids (OHP, LCD, Projectors, etc.)

• Action Taken: Modern teaching aids were procured, and regular maintenance schedules were implemented.

5. Laboratory Facilities (for Practical Courses)

• Action Taken: Labs were equipped with updated tools and resources, and staff training was conducted for effective utilization.

6. Utilities (Drinking Water, Toilets, etc.)

• Action Taken: Repairs and upgrades to utilities were undertaken to improve accessibility and functionality.

7. Overall Classroom Experience

• Action Taken: Feedback on classroom management was addressed by sensitizing faculty through training programs.

8. **Response of Supporting Staff of the Department**

• Action Taken: Supporting staff were provided training in communication and responsiveness.

9. Library Facilities

• Action Taken: Additional reading materials and digital resources were procured based on student needs.

3. Feedback on College Infrastructure

1. Games and Sports Activities in the College

• Action Taken: Increased the budget allocation for sports equipment and organized regular sports events.

2. Extra-Curricular Activities in the College

• Action Taken: More extracurricular activities were scheduled to encourage student participation.

3. Functioning of Placement Cell in College

• Action Taken: Placement cell activities were revamped by partnering with industries and conducting skill-development workshops.

4. Internet Facility in the College

• Action Taken: Internet infrastructure was upgraded to ensure reliable and faster connectivity.

5. Overall Functioning/Responsiveness of the College

• Action Taken: A grievance redressal system was implemented to improve responsiveness.

6. Examination System

• Action Taken: Transparent processes and timely evaluations were ensured by implementing automation in the examination system.

7. Hostel and Mess Facility

• Action Taken: Hostel and mess facilities were upgraded, with improvements in food quality and hygiene.

8. Overall Learning Environment on the Campus

• Action Taken: Initiatives were taken to promote a collaborative and engaging learning environment.

4. Feedback on the Teacher(s)

1. Punctuality of the Teacher

• Action Taken: Faculty were reminded to adhere strictly to the schedule.

2. Teachers' Knowledge of Course Content

• Action Taken: Knowledge enhancement workshops and conferences were organized for faculty.

3. Clarity of Thought and Expression of the Teacher

• Action Taken: Faculty were trained in communication and teaching techniques.

4. Ability of the Teacher to Make Students Think/Enquire

• Action Taken: Interactive teaching methods were introduced to foster inquirybased learning.

5. Ability of the Teacher to Manage the Class

• Action Taken: Faculty were trained in classroom management strategies.

6. Interaction Between Students and the Teacher

• Action Taken: Teachers were encouraged to adopt a more student-centric approach in their teaching.

7. Manner in Which the Syllabus Was Covered by the Teacher

• Action Taken: Timely syllabus completion was ensured through monitoring and review mechanisms.

8. Overall Rating of the Teacher Who Taught the Course

• Action Taken: Faculty were acknowledged for their performance, and improvement areas were addressed through targeted training.